Heavy Ion Collisions A. Marin (GSI)

Spanish High Energy Physics School Taller Altas Energías Complutense 2012

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

1

Table of Contents

- Bibliography
- Introduction. History of HIC.
- The LHC experiments. ATLAS, CMS, ALICE.
- Global properties
- HBT
- Flow
- Quarkonia
- High pt, jets
- •
- Charm , beauty
- Electromagnetic probes

Bibliography

- Ultrarelativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions. Ramona Vogt.
- Introduction to High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions, C.Y. Wong, World Scientific, 1994
- The physics of the quark-gluon plasma, S. Sarkar, H. Satz and B. Sinha, Lecture notes in physics, Volume 785, 2010
- Quark-Gluon Plasma Physics: from fixed target to LHC (SS2011).
 Prof. Dr. J. Stachel, PD Dr. K. Reygers.

http://www.physi.uni-heidelberg.de/~reygers/lectures/2011/qgp/qgp_lecture_ss2011.html

Goals of High Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions

- Understand 2 basic properties of the strong interaction: (de)confinement, chiral symm. breaking/restoration
- Probe conditions quark-hadron phase transition in primordial Universe (few µsec after the Big Bang)
- Study the phase diagram of QCD matter: produce and study the QGP





QGP in the laboratory



Key parameters: Bombarding energy and collision centrality

Heavy Ion Time Evolution



- 1. Initial Nuclei Collide
- 2. Partons are Freed from Nuclear Wavefunction
- 3. Partons interact and potentially form a Quark-Gluon Plasma
- 4. System expands and cools off
- 5. System Hadronizes and further Re-Scatters
- 6. Hadrons and Leptons stream towards our detectors

Onion-like structure of HEP experiments



Each layer identifies and measures (or remeasures) the energy of particles unmeasured by the previous layer



Available energy \sqrt{s} for Fixed Target and Collider experiments

Fixed Target experiment:

$$m_{1}, E_{1}^{lab} \bullet \longrightarrow m_{2}, p_{2}^{lab} = 0$$

$$\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2E_{1}^{lab}m_{2}} \approx \sqrt{2E_{1}^{lab}m_{2}}$$

$$E_{1}^{lab} >> m_{\nu}m_{2}$$

Collider experiment:

 $m_1, E_1^{lab} \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet m_2, E_2^{lab}$

$$\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2E_{1}^{lab}E_{2}^{lab} + 2p_{1}^{lab}p_{2}^{lab}} = 2E_{1}^{lab}$$

$$\overline{p}_{l} = -\overline{p}_{2}$$

$$m_{l} = m_{2}$$

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

Kinematics, notations, conventions

 $1eV = 1.60217653(14) \times 10^{-19} J$

$$\begin{aligned} hc = 197.3269631 \text{ MeV.fm} & \frac{hcr}{k_{p}} = \frac{100217039(11)7410^{-10}}{1.3806505(24) \times 10^{-23} J/K} = 11604.505(20)K \\ y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{E + p_{z}}{E - p_{z}} \right) = \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{p_{z}}{E} \right) \approx -\ln \tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) & E = m_{T} \cosh y \\ p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z} = m_{T} \sinh y \\ m_{T} = \sqrt{m^{2} + p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{p_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2} \eta + m^{2}} + p_{T} \sinh \eta}{\sqrt{p_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2} \eta + m^{2}} - p_{T} \sinh \eta} \right] \\ \eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{p_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2} y - m^{2}} + m_{T} \sinh y}{\sqrt{p_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2} y - m^{2}} - m_{T} \sinh y} \right] & \frac{dN}{d\eta dp_{T}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^{2}}{m_{T}^{2} \cosh^{2} y}} \frac{dN}{dy dp_{T}} \end{aligned}$$

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

_ . _ . _

c=ħ=1

Pb+Pb collision at LHC at \sqrt{s} NN=2.76TeV



History of Heavy Ion Collisions

- Bevalac (LBL)
 - fixed target (1975-1986) √s <2.4 GeV</p>
- SIS (GSI)
 - fixed target (1989-) √s <2.7 GeV</p>
- AGS (BNL)
 - fixed target (1986-1998) √s <5 GeV</p>
- SPS (CERN)
 - fixed target (1986-2003) √s <20 GeV
- RHIC (BNL)
 - collider (2000-) √s <200 GeV
- LHC (CERN)
 - collider (2008-) √s <5500 GeV
- FAIR (GSI)
 - fixed target (2014-) √s <9 GeV



Energy doubling every ~4 (1.7) years for p (ion) beams.

Heavy ion collisions at LHC

| | SPS | RHIC | LHC |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| √s _{NN} (GeV) | 17 | 200 | 2760(5500) |
| dN _{ch} /dy | 430 | 730 | 1584 |
| τ ⁰ _{QGP} (fm/c) | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| T/T _c | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.0-4.7 |
| ε (GeV/fm³) | 3 | 5 | >18 |
| τ _{QGP} (fm/c) | ≤2 | 2-4 | ≥10 |
| τ _f (fm/c) | ~10 | 20-30 | 15-60 |
| V _f (fm³) | few 10 ³ | few 10 ⁴ | few 10 ⁵ |

faster hotter denser longer

bigger

LHC: Entering a new regime

C W Fabjan 2008 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 35, 104038



THE LHC

The CERN accelerator complex



LINAC2- BOOSTER-PS-SPS-LHC

LHC startup



The Large Hadron Collider



- 27 km de circumference
- 40-100 m underground
- 1232 super conducting magnets
 15 m long 30Tons.
- Cooled at 1.9 K (liquid He)

- Accelerates p @ 7×10¹² eV and ions @ 2,76×10¹² xA eV (99,999993% c)
- Luminosity from 10³³ to 10²⁷ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (depeding on the beams and experiment)
- Bunches of ~10⁸ ions cross each other 10⁷ times per second to make 8000 ion-ion collisions per second
- Up to 0,2×10⁻³ Joules available in a collision

The Large Hadron Collider



Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

The ATLAS Detector



The CMS experiment









•excellent particle ID up to ~ 50 to 60 GeV/c•Most ($2\pi * 1.8 \text{ units } \eta$) of the hadrons (dE/dx + ToF), leptons (dE/dx, TOF, transition radiation) and photons (high resolution EM calorimetry, conversions);

- •Track and identify from very low (< 100 MeV/c) up to very high pt (>100GeV/c);
- •Identify short lived particles (hyperons, D/B meson) through secondary vertex detection;

Collision picture

Landau versus Bjorken scenario

Figure: Nuclear stopping scenarios. The particle rapidity distributions are given before the collision and after the collision in the case of a full stopping (Landau) and complete transparency (Bjorken).



www-subatech.in 2p3.fr/~photons/subatech/physics/potpourri/node11.html

Landau:

L.D. Landau, Izv. Akad. Naul. SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 17(1953) 51 •Complete stopping of the nuclei

•Initial conditions:

$$V_0 = V_{rest} / \gamma_{cm}$$
, $\epsilon_0 = E_{cm} / V_0$

•Linear expansion until size comparable to transverse size, then transverse expansion considered

•dN/dy Gaussian with width given by:

$$\sigma^2 = \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2m_p}\right)$$

Bjorken:

Transparency
 Flat rapidity distribution
 Complete stopping of the nuclei in central collisions up to √s_{NN} ~ 5 - 10 GeV,

 Transparency (baryon-free QGP at central rapidities) for √s_{NN} > ~ 100 GeV

The Bjorken model and energy density

 ${\cal N}_{ch}$

 \mathcal{T}



FIG. 2. Geometry for the initial state of centrally produced plasma in nucleus-nucleus collisions.

 $\frac{\Delta N}{A\Delta z} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{dN}{dy} \frac{dy}{dz} \Big|_{y=0}$ $=\frac{1}{A}\frac{dN}{dy}\frac{1}{\tau_{o}\cosh y}|_{y=0}, where$ $dz = \tau \cosh y dy$

Phys. Rev. D 27(1983)140 Based on the observation that rapidity distribution of charged secondaries is constant in the mid-rapidity region in a p+p collision. Energy density is also constant. Density of charged particles depend on the proper time τ

$$\tau = n_{ch}(t, z) = n_{ch}(\tau)$$

$$\tau = t/\gamma = t\sqrt{1-v^{2}} = \sqrt{t^{2}-z^{2}}$$

$$v = z/t = \tanh(y)$$

$$z = \tau \sinh y$$

$$t = \tau \cosh y$$

$$\varepsilon_{0} = m_{T} \cosh y \frac{\Delta N}{A\Delta z}$$

$$\varepsilon_{0} = \frac{m_{T}}{\tau_{o}A} \frac{dN}{dy} |_{y=0} = \frac{1}{\tau_{o}A} \frac{dE_{T}}{dy} |_{y=0}$$

Centrality, participants, expectators

N. Herrmann, J.P. Wessels, T. Wienold, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci 49 (1999) 581



Participants: nucleon that may hit each other Spectators: do not meet any other nucleon in their way

Glauber model

Glauber, RJ. 1959. In Lectures in Theoretical Physics, ed. WE Brittin and LG Dunham, 1:315. New York: Interscience



Glauber model: N_{coll}, N_{part}

Number of nucleon-nucleon collisions: $< N_{coll}(b) >= \sigma_{inel} T_{AB}(b)$

 N_{part} in nucleus A proportional to nuclear profile function at transverse position s weighted by the sum over the probability of a nucleon-nucleus collision at transverse position $|\overline{b}-\overline{s}|$ in nucleus B. Same for B. Total:

$$N_{part}(b) = \int d^2 s [T_A(s)(1 - \exp[-\sigma_{inel}T_B(|\vec{b} - \vec{s}|)]) + T_B(|\vec{b} - \vec{s}|)(1 - \exp[-\sigma_{inel}T_A(s)])]$$



Centrality at LHC: ALICE



Phys. Lett. B 696 (2011) 30-39, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 032301 (2011)

Table 1

The average numbers of participating nucleons $\langle N_{part} \rangle$, binary nucleon–nucleon collisions $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$, and the average nuclear overlap function $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for the two centrality bins, expressed in percentages of the hadronic cross section.

| Centrality | $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ | $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ | $\langle T_{AA} \rangle \ (mb^{-1})$ |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0–5% | 383 ± 3 | 1690 ± 131 | 26.4 ± 0.5 |
| 70-80% | 15.4 ± 0.6 | 15.7 ± 0.7 | 0.25 ± 0.01 |

Number of ancestors given by: $f \times N_{part} + (1-f) \times N_{coll}$

Global properties

Nuclear Stopping

- Baryon number is conserved in the collision
- Quantified by net baryon counting (N baryon-Nantibaryon)





Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

Charged particle multiplicity

- Simple observable: just count tracks
- Constraints on the dominant mechanisms of the particle production
- Soft interactions, QCD cannot be applied
 - Input for phenomenological models
- Essential to estimate the initial energy density
- Dependence on √s and on system size interplay between hard partonparton scattering processes and soft processes

First PbPb collisions in ALICE 0-5% cent: dN_{ch}/dη ~ 1584 ± 4 (stat) ± 76 (syst)



2010-11-08 11:29:42 Fill : 1444 Run : 137124 Event : 0x00000000271EC693


Charged particle multiplicity: *√***s dependence**



ALICE: Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 252301, ATLAS: Phys. Lett.B 710 (2012) 363, CMS: JHEP 1108(2011) 141

- •An increase by a factor 2.2, in the pseudorapidity density is observed at $\int s_{NN} = 2.76$ TeV for Pb-Pb compared to $\int s_{NN} = 0.2$ TeV for Au-Au.
- •Energy dependence is steeper for heavy-ion collisions than for pp and pp collisions •The average multiplicity per participant pair a factor 1.9 higher than that for pp and pp collisions at similar energies.

Interplay of N_{part} and N _{coll} dependence in the particle production mechanism in heavy ion collisions

dN_{ch}/dη@LHC: Model predictions/comparison



•[8-12]: Models based on initial-state gluon density saturation

•[13]: A hybrid model based on hydrodynamics and saturation of final-state phase space of scattered partons

•[14]: A hydrodynamic model in which multiplicity is scaled from p+p collisions

- •[15] Model incorporating scaling based on Landau hydrodynamics
- •[16] A calculation based on modified PYTHIA and hadronic rescattering

$dN_{ch}/d\eta$ @LHC: Centrality dependence



ALICE: Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 032301, ATLAS: Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 363, CMS: JHEP 1108(2011) 141

Same shape of yield/participant at RHIC and LHC The centrality dependence is well reproduced by saturation models [13,14]

Rapidity density of pions



Fig. 11 *Left panel*: negative pion rapidity distributions in central Au+Au and Pb+Pb collisions from AGS via SPS to RHIC energies [61]. *Right panel*: the Gaussian rapidity width of pions vs. \sqrt{s} , confronted by Landau model predictions (*solid line*) [61]

C. Blume J. Phys. G31, 57(2005)

Advent of boost invariance already at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =200GeV

Nch Pseudorapidity densities@RHIC PHOBOS collaboration (from Miller, Reygers, Sanders, Steinberg, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.57 (2007) 205 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0701025





Charged particle pseudorapidity densities increase:

with centrality (different colors) and

with energy

Broader distributions for higher energies Total multiplicity proportional to N_{par}

dN_{ch}/dղ@LHC



Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

42

Transverse Energy



 $\boldsymbol{\cdot} E_{\mathsf{T}}$ from charged hadrons measured by tracking detectors

 $E_{i} = E_{i}^{tot} - m_{N} : baryons$ $E_{T} = \sum_{i} E_{i} \sin \theta_{i} \qquad E_{i} = E_{i}^{tot} + m_{N} : antibaryons$ $E_{i} = E_{i}^{tot} : others$

•Centrality dependence similar to RHIC •Similar dependence as $N_{ch} \rightarrow E_T/N_{ch}$ independent of centrality

$$\varepsilon_{central}^{LHC} = \frac{1}{\tau_o A} \frac{dE_t}{dy} |_{y=0} \approx \frac{1}{\tau_o A} \frac{dE_t}{d\eta}, A \approx \pi R^2 = 109 \, fm^2$$
$$\frac{dE_t}{d\eta} \approx 1700 GeV$$
$$\varepsilon_{central}^{LHC} \approx 16 GeV / fm^3$$

Transverse energy: \sqrt{s} dependence





Spectra

Low p_t : Integrated particle yields High p_t : Jet quenching

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

7/20/2012

Transverse momentum spectrum in pp collisions



Invariant cross section:

$$E\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{d^{3}p} = \frac{d^{3}\sigma}{d\phi dy p_{T} dp_{T}} = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{T}}\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{dp_{T} dy}$$

Invariant yield: $\frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{d^2 N}{dp_T dy}$

Low p_T : exponential

$$\frac{dN}{p_T \ dp_T} \propto \ e^{-p_T/T}$$

High p_T : power law (pQCD)

$$\frac{dN}{p_T dp_T} \propto \frac{1}{p_T^{n(\sqrt{s})}}$$

"Thermal" Spectra

Invariant spectrum of particles radiated by a thermal source:

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{dN}{dy m_{T} dm_{T} d\phi} \propto Ee^{-(E-\mu)/T}$$

where: $m_T = (m^2 + p_T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ transverse mass (Note: requires knowledge of mass) $\mu = b \mu_b + s \mu_s$ grand canonical chem. potential T temperature of source

Neglect quantum statistics (small effect) and integrating over rapidity gives:

$$\frac{dN}{m_T \ dm_T} \propto m_T \ K_1(m_T/T) \xrightarrow{m_T >>T} \sqrt{m_T} e^{-m_T/T}$$
R. Hagedorn, Supplemento al Nuovo Cimento Vol. III, No.2 (1965)

At mid-rapidity $E = m_T \cosh y = m_T$ and hence: $\frac{dN}{m_T \ dm_T} \propto m_T \ e^{-m_T/T}$
"Boltzmann"

Isotropic thermal source:

$$\frac{dN}{dy} \propto \left(m^2 T + \frac{2mT^2}{\cosh y} + \frac{2T^2}{\cosh^2 y} \right) e^{-(m \cdot \cosh y/T)}$$

"Thermal" Spectra and Flow



Due to collective radial flow different spectral shapes for particles of differing mass. Spectral shape is determined by at least T, $\beta_{\rm T}$

$$T_{measured} = \begin{cases} T_{th} + m \langle \beta_T \rangle^2 \text{ for } p_T \leq m \\ T_{th} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \langle \beta_T \rangle}{1 - \langle \beta_T \rangle}} & \text{for } p_T >> m \quad \text{(blue shift)} \end{cases}$$

Blast wave model

A hydrodynamic inspired description of spectra

Schnedermann, Sollfrank, Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 48 (1993) 2462

 $\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto \int_0^{R} r \, dr \, m_T \, I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T}\right) K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T}\right)$ with

transverse velocity distribution $\beta_r(r) = \beta_s \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^n$

and boost angle (boost rapidity) $\rho = \tanh^{-1} \beta_r$

E. Schnedermann and U. Heinz, PRC50, 1675 (1994)

$$\frac{1}{m_T} \frac{dN}{dm_T} \propto m_T K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \eta_T}{T_{kin}} \right) I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \eta_T}{T_{kin}} \right)$$
$$\eta_T = \tanh^{-1} \beta_T$$

F. Retiere and M. Lisa PRC70; PHENIX PRL88



FIG. 49: Comparison of the data with the blast-wave calculations performed with the best fit parameters in three centrality bins. The closed circles are central data, the open circles are mid-central data and the crosses are peripheral data. The plain lines show the blast wave calculation within the fit range while the dash lines show the extrapolation over the whole range.

Transverse Flow excitation function



Saturation or slow increase from SPS to RHIC

Identified particle spectra@PbPb (LHC-RHIC)



Very strong radial flow, β~0.66 Stronger than predicted by most recent hydro

Significant change in slope compared to RHIC; largest for protons

Identified particle spectra@PbPb (LHC)



Thermal Model and particle abundancies

- Assume chemically equilibrated system at freeze-out (constant T_{ch} and μ)
- Composed of non-interacting hadrons and resonances
- Given T_{ch} and μ 's, particle abundances (n_i's) can be calculated in a grand canonical ensemble

Partition function:

$$\ln Z_{i} = \frac{Vg_{i}}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pm p^{2} dp \ln(1 \pm \exp(-(E_{i} - \mu_{i})/T))$$

Particle densities:

$$n_i = \frac{g}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{e^{(E_i(p) - \mu_i)/T} \pm 1}, \ E_i = \sqrt{p^2 + m_i^2}$$

Obey conservation laws: Baryon Number, Strangeness, Isospin

 $\mu = \mu_B B_i + \mu_S S_i + \mu_{I3} I_i^3, \quad V \sum_i n_i B_i = Z + N, \quad V \sum_i n_i S_i = 0, \quad V \sum_i n_i I_i^3 = \frac{Z - N}{2}$ • Short-lived particles and resonances need to be taken into account

Measure particle ratios
$$\longrightarrow$$
 Extract T_{ch} and $\mu \longrightarrow$ Calculate particle ratios
Compare particle abundancies
Predict

The hadronic mass spectrum

Phys. Lett. B673 (2009) 142

- Complete mass states published in PDG 2008, Phys. Lett. B 667 (2008) 1.
- σ meson included [f₀(600)]:
 - m_{σ} = 484 ± 17 MeV, Γ_{σ} = 510 ± 20 MeV
 - García-Martín, Pelaez, Yndurain, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 074034



The thermal fits

Phys. Lett. B673 (2009) 142



Figure 2. Experimental hadron yields and model calculations for the parameters of the best fit at the energies of 7.6 (left panel) and 200 GeV (right panel; the Ω yield includes both Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$).

Good agreement between experimental yields and thermal model

Energy dependence of T and μ . Comparison of different models



•Becattini et al.: γ_{s} •Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 044905 •Phys. Rev. C 78 (2008) 054901 •Rafelski et al.: γ_{S,q}, λ_{q,S,I3}
 •Eur. Phys. J A35 (2008) 221 $\Box \gamma_{5} = 0.18, 0.36, 1.72, 1.64, \dots$ $\Box \gamma_{q} = 0.33, 0.48, 1.74, 1.49, 1.39, 1.47, \dots$ •Dumitru et al.: inhomogeneous freeze-out δΤ,δμ_β •Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 024902 Kaneta, Xu nucl-th/0405608 •Cleymans et al., •Phys. Rev. C 57 (1998) 3319

•THERMUS, Publicly available S. Wheaton , J. Cleymans, M. Hauer . Comp. Phys. Com. 180 (2009) 84–106

Energy dependence of T and μ



Thermal fits exhibit a limiting temperature: T_{lim} = 164 \pm 4 MeV

$$T = T_{lim} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(2.60 - \ln(\sqrt{s_{NN}(\text{GeV})})/0.45)}$$

$$\mu_b[\text{MeV}] = \frac{1303}{1+0.286\sqrt{s_{NN}(\text{GeV})}}$$

Predictions for LHC

J. Phys. G38 (2011) 124081



•Predictions for the production of various hadrons relative to pions. To be tested.

•Balance between matter and anti-matter production is changing considerably from RHIC to LHC energies

Phase diagram of strongly interacting matter



Figure 3. The phase diagram of strongly interacting matter. The points represent the results of the thermal fits. For the SPS beam energy of 40 AGeV ($\mu_b \simeq 400 \text{ MeV}$) we show both midrapidity (dN/dy) and full phase space (4π) fit results. The phase boundary and critical point from lattice QCD (LQCD) calculations [20] is shown together with freeze-out curves for a hadron gas at constant baryon density (baryons and anti-baryons) and energy density. The full triangle indicates the location of ground state nuclear matter (atomic nuclei).

HBT-interferometry

(Hanbury-Brown-Twiss)

The range of correlation in momentum space allows extraction of spatiotemporal extension in configuration space: It is important to constrain models which connect to lifetime or source size

HBT

• In the 1950's by Robert Hanbury-Brown and Richard Q. Twiss:

As a means to measuring stellar radii through the angle subtended by nearby stars, as seen from the Earth's.

Phil. Mag. 45, 663(1954), Nature 177, 26 (1956), Nature 178, 1447 (1956).

2-photon correlation function: C =

$$=\frac{\langle I_1(t)I_2(t)\rangle}{\langle I_1(t)\rangle\langle I_2(t)\rangle}$$

Narrabri Interferometer, Australia





Enhancement due to Bose-Einstein statistics of photons

HBT in particle physics: GGLP

pp collisions, at 1.05 GeV/c. G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, W.Y. Lee, A. Pais, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 300



First observation in particle physics

$$p + \bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$$

HBT



Relative momentum $q = k_1 - k_2$

Effective emission distribution: $\rho_{eff}(x, k_1, k_2) = \frac{\rho(x)A(k_1, x)A(k_2, x)}{\sqrt{P(k_1)P(k_2)}}$

$$P(k_1, k_2) = P(k_1)P(k_2) \left(1 + \left|\int dx \, e^{iqx} \rho_{eff}(x, k_1, k_2)\right|^2\right)$$
$$= P(k_1)P(k_2) \left(1 + \left|\tilde{\rho}_{eff}(q, k_1, k_2)\right|^2\right)$$
Fourier transformed distribution

Correlation function:

$$C_2(q, k_1, k_2) = \frac{P(k_1, k_2)}{P(k_1)P(k_2)}$$

= $1 + |\tilde{\rho}_{eff}(q, k_1, k_2)|^2$

Gaussian static source:

$$ho_{eff}(r)=rac{1}{4\pi^2 R}\exp\left(rac{r^2}{2R^2}
ight)$$
 $C_2(q)=1+\exp(-R^2q^2)$

Dynamical Sources

Particle sources expand

Differently in longitudinal and transverse direction

⇒ 3-dimensional radius parameters Yano-Koonin-Podgoretskii (YKP) Bertsch-Pratt (BP)

Interpretation of radius parameter as source size meaningless

 \Rightarrow Lengths of homogeneity

Radius parameter depend on transverse momentum (k_t) of the pairs

Flow introduces space momentum correlations Also: resonance decays, jets, ...

$$k_t = rac{1}{2} \left| ec{p}_{t,1} + ec{p}_{t,2}
ight|$$

Bertsch-Pratt Parametrization

3-dimensional parametrization:

 $C_2(q) = 1 + \lambda \, \exp(-q_{side}^2 R_{side}^2 - q_{out}^2 R_{out}^2 - q_{long}^2 R_{long}^2 - q_{out}q_{long}R_{out-long}^2)$



Long: defined by beam-axis Mixed term vanishes at mid-rapidity

Radius Parameters for an Expanding Source



Correlation function. Rout, Rside, Rlong





Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)

(10-35%) larger at *J S*_{NN} = 200 GeV.

Beam energy dependence of the radii





Connected to the volume of the homogeneity region, Linear dependence on the dN $_{\rm ch}$ /dh Two times larger at the LHC than at RHIC.

Beam energy dependence of the decoupling time



$$R_{\text{long}}^2(k_T) = \frac{\tau_f^2 T}{m_T} \frac{K_2(m_T/T)}{K_1(m_T/T)}, \quad m_T = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + k_T^2},$$

The decoupling time for midrapidity pions exceeds 10 fm/c which is 40% larger than at RHIC

The fireball formed in nuclear collisions at the LHC is hotter, lives longer, and expands to a larger size at freeze-out as compared to lower energies.

backup



The Time Projection Chamber

Main tracking detector (charged particles) of the ALICE Central Barrel


Functions, Functions, ...

$$\frac{dN}{p_T dp_T} \propto \left(1 + \frac{p_0}{p_T}\right)^{-n} \qquad \text{power law (high-}p_T)$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto m_T K_1 \left(\frac{m_T}{T}\right)^{\frac{m_T \gg T}{T}} \sqrt{m_T} e^{-m_T/T} \qquad \text{thermal emission } (4\pi)$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto m_T e^{-m_T/T} \qquad \text{thermal emission } (y=0)$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto \int_0^{\mathbb{R}} r \, dr \, m_T \, I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T}\right) K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T}\right) \qquad \text{thermal + flow}$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto e^{-m_T/T} \qquad \text{simple}$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto \frac{e^{-m_T/T}}{m_T^{\frac{\lambda}{T}}} \qquad \text{Empirical parametrization from pp (m_T-scaling)}$$
but also from theoretical model (flux-tube + Sch

but also from theoretical model (flux-tube + Schwinger) (Gatoff, Wong, PRD 46, 997 (1992)

Note: "T" depends on function used in papers often more than one fit function quoted

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)



The fact that the <p_+> from AA collisions is distinctly different from both pp and e⁺e⁻ indicates that the AA collisions are not simple superpositions of the elementary collisions.

Taller de Altas Energías Complutense 2012, A. Marin (a.marin@gsi.de)