

Some general definitions (and results)

Local error = error committed in one step $=: e_{n+1}$

$$e_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y(t_{n+1}) \quad \text{for } y_k = y(t_k) \quad k \leq n$$

\uparrow \uparrow
numerical exact
solution solution

Global error = difference between numerical and exact solutions

$$E_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y(t_{n+1})$$

Consistency. A method is said to be consistent if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e_n}{h} = 0$$

The method has order p if $e_n = O(h^{p+1})$ as $h \rightarrow 0$

Convergence. A method is said to be convergent if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |E_n| = 0 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Note. Consistency is a necessary condition for convergence, but not sufficient. See below

Stability - Let y_n be the numerical solution at $n > 0$ for an initial condition y_0 . Let z_n the numerical solution for an initial condition $y_0 + \delta_0$. The method is stable if there exists an $\hat{h} > 0$ such that $|z_n - y_n|$ is bounded from above for all $h \in (0, \hat{h})$ and all $t_n \in [0, T]$

→ This definition involves the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ since $|z_n - y_n|$ must be bounded for all $h \in (0, \hat{h})$

→ In practice this definition involves $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_n, y_n)$, which makes it difficult to use.

Absolute stability A numerical method is absolutely stable for a mesh h if $|z_n - y_n| \leq \delta_0$

→ This definition takes a specific value of h .

→ It still depends on $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_n, y_n)$

→ In practice, absolute stability is discussed almost exclusively for $f(t, y) = \lambda y$, with λ constant.

Results for one-step methods having the form

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\Phi(t_n, y_n, h) \tag{M1}$$

Stability - If $\Phi(t, y, h)$ is Lipschitz on y then the method (M1) is stable.

Proof: $y_0 \rightarrow y_{n+1} = y_n + h\Phi(t_n, y_n, h)$

$z_0 \rightarrow z_{n+1} = z_n + h\Phi(t_n, z_n, h)$

$$|z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| \leq |z_n - y_n| + h |\Phi(t_n, z_n, h) - \Phi(t_n, y_n, h)|$$

Φ Lipschitz on y means $|\Phi(t, z, h) - \Phi(t, y, h)| \leq L|z - y| \Rightarrow$
↑
 constant.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| &\leq (1 + hL) |z_n - y_n| \\ &\leq (1 + hL) (1 + hL) |z_{n-1} - y_{n-1}| \\ &\leq \dots \leq (1 + hL)^{n+1} |y_0 - z_0| \end{aligned}$$

$$1 + x \leq e^x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow |z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| \leq e^{(n+1)hL} |\delta_0|$$

since $t_{n+1} = (n+1)h \leq T$, $|z_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| \leq e^{LT} |\delta_0| \Rightarrow$ stability

$[0, T = Nh]$ = time interval

Bound from above that does not depend on h

\rightarrow This is a result for stability (not for absolute stability)

Consistency. The method (M1) is consistent if $\Phi(t, y, h) = f(t, y)$

Proof: $\frac{1}{h} e_n = \frac{1}{h} [y_n - y(t_n)]$

$$= \frac{1}{h} [\cancel{y_{n-1}} + h\Phi(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, h) - y(t_{n-1})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} [\cancel{y_{n-1}} - \underbrace{y(t_{n-1})}_{\parallel} + h\Phi(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, h) - \underbrace{h y''(t_{n-1}) + o(h^2)}_{\parallel}]$$

They cancel each other since e_n is local error $e_n = y_n - y(t_n)$ for $e_{k < n} = 0$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e_n}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [\Phi(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, h) - f(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})]$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e_n}{h} = 0$ if $\Phi(t, y, 0) = f(t, y)$

Convergence. Consistency is not enough for convergence. Stability (which in turn follows from a Lipschitz condition for the one-step methods that we are considering here) is also required. This is what the following theorem states

Theorem. Let $\Phi(t, y, h)$ be a continuous function of t, y and h on $0 \leq t \leq T, -\infty < y < \infty$ and $0 \leq h \leq \hat{h}$, and let it satisfy a Lipschitz condition on y . Then the one-step method (M1) converges to a solution of $y' = f(t, y), y(0) = y_0$ if and only if it is consistent.

Proof Let $z(t)$ satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} z' &= \Phi(t, z, 0) \\ z(0) &= y_0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and let z_n be defined by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} z_{n+1} &= z_n + h \Phi(t_n, z_n, h) \\ z_0 &= y_0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Using the mean value theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned} z(t_{n+1}) - z(t_n) &= h z'(t_n + h\theta_n) \quad 0 < \theta_n < 1 \\ &= h \Phi(t_n + h\theta_n, z(t_n + h\theta_n), 0) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t_{n+1}) - z_{n+1} &= z(t_n) - z_n + h [\Phi(t_n + h\theta_n, z(t_n + h\theta_n), 0) - \Phi(t_n, z_n, h)] \\ &= z(t_n) - z_n + h \left\{ \Phi(t_n + h\theta_n, z(t_n + h\theta_n), 0) - \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), 0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), h) - \Phi(t_n, z_n, h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), 0) - \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), h) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The Lipschitz condition implies

$$\text{second line} \rightarrow |\Phi(t_n, z(t_n), h) - \Phi(t_n, z_n, h)| \leq L |z(t_n) - z_n|$$

Continuity of Φ implies

$$\text{third line} \rightarrow \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Phi(t_n, z(t_n), 0) - \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), h)| = o(h)$$

$$\text{first line} \rightarrow \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Phi(t_n + h\theta_n, z(t_n + h\theta_n), 0) - \Phi(t_n, z(t_n), 0)| = o(h)$$

all in all

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z(t_{n+1}) - z_{n+1}| &\leq (1+hL) |z(t_n) - z_n| + h O(h) \\
 &\leq (1+hL) [(1+hL) |z(t_{n-1}) - z_{n-1}| + h O(h)] + h O(h) \\
 &\leq \dots \leq (1+hL)^{n+1} |z(0) - z_0| \\
 &\quad + h \underbrace{\left[1 + (1+hL) + (1+hL)^2 + \dots + (1+hL)^n \right]}_{\frac{1 - (1+hL)^{n+1}}{1 - (1+hL)}} O(h)
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $z(0) - z_0 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z(t_{n+1}) - z_{n+1}| &\leq \frac{(1+hL)^{n+1} - 1}{L} O(h) \leq \frac{e^{(n+1)hL} - 1}{L} O(h) \\
 &\leq \frac{e^{LT} - 1}{L} O(h) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{for all } n
 \end{aligned}$$

Global error. Assume Φ satisfies the conditions of the previous theorem and let the one-step method be of order p . Then the global error is bounded by

$$|E_n| \leq \frac{Ch^p}{L} (e^{LT} - 1)$$

with C a constant.

[Proof not included]