The Initial State of a Primordial Anisotropic Stage of Inflation

M. Minamitsuji (CENTRA, IST, Lisboa) With J. J. Blanco-Pillado (IKERBASQUE, Bilbao)

1501.07427

Primordial anisotropic stage of inflation

- Inflationary models can nicely fit WMAP/Planck data.
 But, they also observed large-scale anomalies which deserve great attentions.
 Many models introduced matter fields, in order to sustain spatial anisotropy.
- Here, we consider the possibility without invoking new anisotropic source.
 Primordial stage of inflation could be highly anisotropic, as in the presence of a cosmological constant / potential energy the universe approaches de Sitter within a few e-folds.
 - Effects of the initial state can be seen at the largest scales today, if inflation lasted just enough to solve the flatness and horizon problems, say $N \sim 50 60$.

Modification in the large-scale power could explain the observed rotational symmetry violation in the sky. In the absence of matter, the initial geometry before the onset of inflation is naturally assumed to be the Kasner solution

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} t^{2p_{i}} dx_{i}^{2}, \qquad \sum_{i} p_{i} = \sum_{i} p_{i}^{2} = 1.$$

• For a cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$, the Kasner-de Sitter solution describes universe being isotropic within $(a few) \times H^{-1}$.

Gumrukcuoglu, Contaldi & Peloso (07)

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sinh^{\frac{2}{3}}(3Ht) \left\{ \tanh\left(\frac{3Ht}{2}\right) \right\}^{2(p_{i}-\frac{1}{3})} dx_{i}^{2}, \quad H := \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$$

Only the initially regular Kasner branch of $p_1 = 1, p_2 = p_3 = 0$

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + \left(\frac{2}{3}H^{-1}\sinh\frac{3Ht}{2}\left(\cosh\frac{3Ht}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{2}dr^{2} + \left(\cosh\frac{3Ht}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}dx_{\perp}^{2}$$

 $\approx Milne_2 \times R^2$ at $t \approx 0$: $ds^2 \approx -dt^2 + t^2 dr^2 + dx_{\perp}^2$.

A part of 4d Minkowski spacetime

• The adiabatic vacuum state in the Kasner-de Sitter background

Conformal vacuum of 2d Milne. $\sinh(3Ht) = \frac{1}{\sinh(-3H\eta)}$

Kim & Minamitsuji (10,12)

$$f_{k_{\perp},k}^{(c)}(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2H_{2d}\sinh(\pi\tilde{k})}} J_{-i\tilde{k}}\left(2\tilde{k}_{\perp}e^{H_{2d}\eta}\right) \qquad \tilde{k} = \frac{k}{H} \qquad \tilde{k}_{\perp} = \frac{k_{\perp}}{H}$$

The final power spectrum diverges on the plane $k \rightarrow 0$, which leads to large backreaction, making our choice of the initial vacuum *questionable*. Dey & Paban (11,13)

The existence of the singularity spoils the predictability of quantum state.

= const.

Horowitz & Marolf (95)



Kasner-de Sitter bubble nucleation

• We give a new interpretation of Kasner-de Sitter universe as an outcome of quantum tunneling from a universe with a compactified space.

$$R^3 \to R \times T^2 : ds^2 \approx -dt^2 + t^2 dr^2 + dx_{\perp}^2) \Rightarrow T^2$$



 Models with finite spatial curvature induced the late-time quadrupole anisotropy in the CMB, leading to a large lower bound of the e-folding. Demianski & Doroshkevich (07), Blanco-Pillado & Salem (10), Adamek, Campo & Niemeyer (10)
 Our model is free from this problem of quadrupole anisotropy.



- \succ The initial quantum state is set on the global Cauchy surface Σ .
- > The initial data inside the bubble is obtained via analytic continuation.

6

> The final power spectrum in dS_4 has a variation with angle on large scales, depending on the choice of the parent vacuum.

Evolution inside the bubble

• The time evolution of each mode inside the Kasner de Sitter bubble follows

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \Omega^2(k_\perp, k, \eta)\right] f_{k_\perp, k}(\eta) = 0$$

$$\Omega^{2}(k_{\perp},k,\eta) = \alpha^{-4} \sinh^{-4/3}(-H_{2d}\eta) e^{2H_{2d}\eta/3} \left(\alpha^{6}k_{\perp}^{2} + e^{-2H_{2d}\eta}k^{2}\right)$$
$$-\infty < \eta < 0$$

Kasner de Sitter

• Power spectrum obtained by integrating *numerically* toward $\eta \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\alpha^{-4} k^2 + \alpha^2 k_\perp^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \times \begin{cases} \left| f_{k_\perp,k}^{(M)}(\eta \to 0) \right|^2 & (M_2 \times T_2) \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| f_{k_\perp,k}^{(i)}(\eta \to 0) \right|^2 & (dS_2 \times T_2) \end{cases}$$

Bubble nucleation from $M_2 \times T^2$

• The initial mode functions inside at $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$, obtained by the analytic continuation from 2d Minkowski vacuum.

$$f_{k_{\perp},k}^{(M)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{H_{2d}}} e^{\pi \tilde{k}/2} H_{i\tilde{k}}^{(2)} \left(2\tilde{k}_{\perp} e^{H_{2d}\eta} \right) \implies \text{regular for } \mathbf{k} \ll \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$$

...related to that in the conformal vacuum of $Milne_2$ by

$$f_{k\perp,k}^{(M)} = \alpha_k f_{k\perp,k}^{(c)} + \beta_k \left(f_{k\perp,k}^{(c)} \right)^*$$

$$\begin{split} f_{k_{\perp},k}^{(c)}(\eta) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2H_{2d}\sinh(\pi\tilde{k})}} J_{-i\tilde{k}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\tilde{k}_{\perp}e^{H_{2d}\eta} \end{pmatrix} & \Rightarrow \text{divergent behavior of the final power spectrum for } k \ll k_{\perp} \\ power spectrum for k \ll k_{\perp} \\ & \text{Kim \& Minamitsuji (10,12), } \\ Dey \& \text{Paban (11,13)} \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha_{\tilde{k}} &= \frac{e^{\pi\tilde{k}/2}}{\sqrt{e^{\pi\tilde{k}} - e^{-\pi\tilde{k}}}} \qquad \beta_{\tilde{k}} = -\frac{e^{-\pi\tilde{k}/2}}{\sqrt{e^{\pi\tilde{k}} - e^{-\pi\tilde{k}}}} \end{split}$$



Approaching the scale-invariant and isotropic spectrum for large \overline{k} .

$$k = \bar{k}\cos\theta$$
 and $k_{\perp} = \bar{k}\sin\theta$

The power spectrum contains a small variation with angle in the intermediate regime and is regular on the plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Bubble nucleation from $dS_2 \times T^2$

• The initial condition at $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ is obtained by the analytic continuation from two normalized modes in the BD vacuum of dS_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_{\perp},k}^{(1)}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{e^{\pi k/2H_{2d}}}{\sqrt{2\sinh(\pi k/H_{2d})}} N(k,k_{\perp}) \ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(1)}(\eta) \\ f_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{e^{\pi k/2H_{2d}}}{\sqrt{2\sinh(\pi k/H_{2d})}} \left(L(k,k_{\perp}) \ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(1)}(\eta) + e^{-\pi k/H_{2d}} \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) \right) \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(1)}(\eta) &= e^{-ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1-\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad N(k,k_{\perp}) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(1+\nu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\nu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right] \qquad L(k,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)\Gamma(-\mu-\mu)} \\ \tilde{f}_{k_{\perp},k}^{(2)}(\eta) &= e^{ik\eta} F\left[-\nu,\nu+1,1+\mu,\frac{1+\xi_i}{2} \right]$$



• A large power enhancement in the intermediate scales does not lead to a singular behavior along the lightcone, but contradiction with CMB data.

Summary

- Large-scale anomalies in the CMB may be caused by the nontrivial modifications of initial quantum states *before* the onset of inflation.
- Quantization in the conformal vacuum of *Milne*₂ universe leads to divergences in the spectrum and makes the choice of initial state questionable.
- We took the new picture that the initial Kasner universe is an outcome of quantum tunneling from the universe with a stabilized direction, making the initial state regular along the planar direction.
- For $M_2 \times T^2$, the tunneling leads to suppression of the power as well as small variation with angle, which could be related to low- ℓ anomalies of CMB.

Thank you.